SUMMARY REPORT
159 IRIS LANE (FORMERLY 1106 IRIS LANE)
LAUREL BAY MILITARY HOUSING AREA
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION BEAUFORT
BEAUFORT, SC

Revision: 0 Prepared for:

Department of the Navy
Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Mid-Atlantic
9324 Virginia Avenue
Norfolk, Virginia 23511-3095

and



Naval Facilities Engineering Command Atlantic 9324 Virginia Avenue Norfolk, Virginia 23511-3095 SUMMARY REPORT
159 IRIS LANE (FORMERLY 1106 IRIS LANE)
LAUREL BAY MILITARY HOUSING AREA
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION BEAUFORT
BEAUFORT, SC

Revision: 0
Prepared for:

Department of the Navy
Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Mid- Atlantic
9324 Virginia Avenue
Norfolk, Virginia 23511-3095

and



**Naval Facilities Engineering Command Atlantic** 

9324 Virginia Avenue Norfolk, Virginia 23511-3095

Prepared by:



CDM - AECOM Multimedia Joint Venture 10560 Arrowhead Drive, Suite 500 Fairfax, Virginia 22030

**Contract Number: N62470-14-D-9016** 


CTO WE52

**JUNE 2021** 



### **Table of Contents**

1.0 INTRODUCTION					
1.1 1.2		ND INFORMATION			
2.0	SAMPLING	ACTIVITIES AND RESULTS			
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	SOIL ANALY GROUNDWA	VAL AND SOIL SAMPLING			
3.0	PROPERTY	<b>STATUS</b>			
4.0	REFERENC	<b>ES</b> 5			
Table Table		Tables  Laboratory Analytical Results - Soil  Laboratory Analytical Results - Groundwater			
		Appendices			
Appen Appen Appen Appen	dix B dix C	Multi-Media Selection Process for LBMH UST Assessment Report Laboratory Analytical Report - Groundwater Regulatory Correspondence			





### **List of Acronyms**

bgs below ground surface

BTEX benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes

CTO Contract Task Order

COPC constituents of potential concern

ft feet

IDIQ Indefinite Delivery, Indefinite Quantity

IGWA Initial Groundwater Assessment

JV Joint Venture

LBMH Laurel Bay Military Housing MCAS Marine Corps Air Station

NAVFAC Mid-Lant Naval Facilities Engineering Command Mid-Atlantic

NFA No Further Action

PAH polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon QAPP Quality Assurance Program Plan

RBSL risk-based screening level

SCDHEC South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control

Site LBMH area at MCAS Beaufort, South Carolina

UST underground storage tank
VISL vapor intrusion screening level



#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The CDM - AECOM Multimedia Joint Venture (JV) was contracted by the Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Mid-Atlantic (NAVFAC Mid-Lant) to provide reporting services for the heating oil underground storage tanks (USTs) located in Laurel Bay Military Housing (LBMH) area at the Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Beaufort, South Carolina (Site). This work has been awarded under Contract Task Order (CTO) WE52 of the Indefinite Delivery, Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) Multimedia Environmental Compliance Contract (Contract No. N62470-14-D-9016).


As of January 2014, the LBMH addresses were re-numbered to comply with the E-911 emergency response addressing system; however, in order to remain consistent with historical sampling and reporting for LBMH area, the residences will continue to be referenced with their original address numbers in sample nomenclature and reporting documents.

This report summarizes the results the environmental investigation activities associated with the storage of home heating oil and the potential release of petroleum constituents at the referenced property. Based on the results of the investigation, a No Further Action (NFA) determination has been made by the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC) for 159 Iris Lane (Formerly 1106 Iris Lane). This NFA determination indicates that there are no unacceptable risks to human health or the environment for the petroleum constituents associated with the home heating oil USTs. The following information is included in this report:

- Background information;
- Sampling activities and results; and
- A determination of the property status.

### 1.1 Background Information

The LBMH area is located approximately 3.5 miles west of MCAS Beaufort. The area is approximately 970 acres in size and serves as an enlisted and officer family housing area. The area is configured with single family and duplex residential structures, and includes recreation, open space, and community facilities. The community includes approximately 1,300 housing units, including legacy Capehart style homes and newer duplex style homes. The housing area





is bordered on the west by salt marshes and the Broad River, and to the north, east and south by uplands. Forested areas lie along the northern and northeastern borders.

Capehart style homes within the LBMH area were formerly heated using heating oil stored in USTs at each residence. There were 1,100 Capehart style housing units in the LBMH area. The newer duplex homes within the LBMH area never utilized heating oil tanks. Heating oil has not been used at Laurel Bay since the mid-1980s. As was the accepted practice at the time, USTs were drained, filled with dirt, capped, and left in place when they were removed from service. Residential USTs are not regulated in the State of South Carolina (i.e., there are no federal or state laws governing installation, management, or removal).

In 2007, MCAS Beaufort began a voluntary program to remove the unregulated, residential USTs and conduct sampling activities to determine if, and to what extent, petroleum constituents may have impacted the surrounding environment. MCAS Beaufort coordinated with SCDHEC to develop removal procedures that were consistent with procedural requirements for regulated USTs. All tank removal activities and follow-on actions are conducted in coordination with SCDHEC. To date, all known USTs have been removed from all residential properties within the LBMH area.

### 1.2 UST Removal and Assessment Process

During the UST removal process, a soil sample was collected from beneath the UST excavations (approximately 4 to 6 feet [ft] below ground surface [bgs]) and analyzed for a predetermined list of constituents of potential concern (COPCs) associated with the petroleum compounds found in home heating oil. These COPCs, derived from the *Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP) for the Underground Storage Tank Management Division, Revision 3.1* (SCDHEC, 2016) and the *Underground Storage Tank Assessment Instructions for Permanent Closure and Change-In-Service,* (SCDHEC, 2018), are as follows:

- benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX),
- naphthalene, and
- five select polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon (PAHs): benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, chrysene and dibenz(a,h)anthracene.

Soil sample results were submitted by MCAS Beaufort to SCDHEC utilizing SCDHEC's UST Assessment Report form. In accordance with SCDHEC's *QAPP for the UST Management* 



*Division* (SCDHEC, 2016), the soil screening levels consists of SCDHEC risk-based screening levels (RBSLs). It should be noted that the RBSLs for select PAHs were revised in Revision 2.0 of the QAPP (SCDHEC, 2013) and were revised again in Revision 3.0 (SCDHEC, 2015). The screening levels used for evaluation at each site were those levels that were in effect at the time of reporting and review by SCDHEC.

The results of the soil sampling at each former UST location were used to determine if a potential for groundwater contamination exists (i.e., soil results greater than RBSLs) and subsequently to select properties for follow-up initial groundwater assessment (IGWA) sampling. The results of the IGWA sampling (if necessary) are used to determine the presence or absence of the aforementioned COPCs in groundwater and identify whether former UST locations will require additional delineation of COPCs in groundwater. In order to delineate the extent of impact to groundwater, permanent wells are installed and a sampling program is established for those former UST locations where IGWA sampling has indicated the presence of COPCs in excess of the SCDHEC RBSLs for groundwater. Groundwater analytical results are also compared to the site specific groundwater vapor intrusion screening levels (VISLs) to evaluate the potential for vapor intrusion and the necessity for an investigation associated with this media. A multi-media investigation selection process tree, applicable to the LBMH UST investigations, is presented as Appendix A.

### 2.0 SAMPLING ACTIVITIES AND RESULTS

The following section presents the sampling activities and associated results for 159 Iris Lane (Formerly 1106 Iris Lane). Details regarding the soil investigation at this site are provided in the *SCDHEC UST Assessment Report – 1106 Iris Lane* (MCAS Beaufort, 2008). The UST Assessment Report is provided in Appendix B. Details regarding the IGWA sampling activities at this site are provided in the *Investigation of Ground Water at Leaking Heating Oil UST Sites Report* (Resolution Consultants, 2008). The laboratory report that includes the pertinent IGWA analytical results for this site is presented in Appendix C.

### 2.1 UST Removal and Soil Sampling

On July 23, 2007, a single 280 gallon heating oil UST was removed from the front of the house at 159 Iris Lane (Formerly 1106 Iris Lane). The former UST location is indicated in the figure of the UST Assessment Report (Appendix B). The UST was removed, cleaned, and shipped offsite for recycling. There was no visual evidence (i.e., staining or sheen) of petroleum impact at the



time of the UST removal. According to the UST Assessment Report (Appendix B), the depth to the base of the UST was 5'6" bgs and a single soil sample was collected from that depth. An additional soil sample was collected from the side of the excavation at a depth of 3'6" bgs. The samples were collected from the fill port side of the former UST to represent a worst case scenario.

Following UST removal, soil samples were collected from the base and the side of the excavation and shipped to an offsite laboratory for analysis of the petroleum COPCs. Sampling was performed in accordance with applicable South Carolina regulation R.61-92, Part 280 (SCDHEC, 2017) and assessment guidelines.

### 2.2 Soil Analytical Results

A summary of the laboratory analytical results and SCDHEC RBSLs is presented in Table 1. A copy of the laboratory analytical data report is included in the UST Assessment Report presented in Appendix B. The laboratory analytical data report includes the soil results for the additional PAHs that were analyzed, but do not have associated RBSLs.

The soil sample results were submitted by MCAS Beaufort to SCDHEC utilizing SCDHEC's UST Assessment Report form (Appendix B). The results of the soil sampling at the former UST location were used by MCAS Beaufort, in consultation with SCDHEC, to determine a path forward (i.e., additional sampling or NFA) for the property. The soil results collected from 159 Iris Lane (Formerly 1106 Iris Lane) were greater than the SCDHEC RBSLs, which indicated further investigation was required. In a letter dated August 13, 2008, SCDHEC requested an IGWA for 159 Iris Lane (Formerly 1106 Iris Lane) to determine if the groundwater was impacted by petroleum COPCs. SCDHEC's request letter is provided in Appendix D.

### 2.3 Groundwater Sampling

On July 28, 2008, a temporary monitoring well was installed at 159 Iris Lane (Formerly 1106 Iris Lane), in accordance with the South Carolina Well Standards and Regulations (R.61-71.H-I, updated June 24, 2016). In order to provide data that can be used to determine whether COPCs are migrating to underlying groundwater, the monitoring well was placed in the same general location as the former heating oil UST. The former UST location is indicated in the figure of the UST Assessment Report (Appendix B). Further details are provided in the *Investigation of Ground Water at Leaking Heating Oil UST Sites Report* (Resolution Consultants, 2008).



The sampling strategy for this phase of the investigation required a one-time sampling event of the temporarily installed monitoring well. Following well installation and development, groundwater samples were collected using low-flow methods and shipped to an offsite laboratory for analysis of the petroleum COPCs. Upon completion of groundwater sampling, the temporary well was abandoned in accordance with the South Carolina Well Standards and Regulations R.61-71 (SCDHEC, 2016).

### 2.4 Groundwater Analytical Results

A summary of the laboratory analytical results and SCDHEC RBSLs is presented in Table 2. A copy of the laboratory analytical data report is included in Appendix C.

The groundwater results collected from 159 Iris Lane (Formerly 1106 Iris Lane) were less than the SCDHEC RBSLs and the site specific groundwater VISLs (Table 2), which indicated that the groundwater was not impacted by COPCs associated with the former UST at concentrations that present a potential risk to human health and the environment. Field forms are provided in the *Investigation of Ground Water at Leaking Heating Oil UST Sites Report* (Resolution Consultants, 2008).

### 3.0 PROPERTY STATUS

Based on the analytical results for groundwater, SCDHEC made the determination that NFA was required for 159 Iris Lane (Formerly 1106 Iris Lane). This NFA determination was obtained in a letter dated December 18, 2008. SCDHEC's NFA letter is provided in Appendix D.


#### 4.0 REFERENCES

Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort, 2008. South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC) Underground Storage Tank Assessment Report – 1106

Iris Lane, Laurel Bay Military Housing Area, January 2008.

Resolution Consultants, 2008. *Investigation of Ground Water at Leaking Heating Oil UST Sites*Report for Laurel Bay Military Housing Area, Multiple Properties, Laurel Bay Military

Housing Area, Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort, Beaufort, South Carolina, November 2008.





- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2013. *Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management* Division, *Revision 2.0*, April 2013.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2015. *Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management* Division, *Revision 3.0*, May 2015.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2016. *Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management* Division, *Revision 3.1*, February 2016.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2017. *R.61-92, Part 280, Underground Storage Tank Control Regulations*, March 2017.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2018. *Underground Storage Tank Assessment Instructions for Permanent Closure and Change-In-Service*, March 2018.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Water, 2016. *R.61-71, Well Standards*, June 2016.

### **Tables**



# Table 1 Laboratory Analytical Results - Soil 159 Iris Lane (Formerly 1106 Iris Lane) Laurel Bay Military Housing Area Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort Beaufort, South Carolina

	(1)	Results Samples Collected 07/23/07		
Constituent	SCDHEC RBSLs (1)	1106 Iris Bottom 01	1106 Iris Side 02	
Volatile Organic Compounds Analyze	ed by EPA Method 8260B (mg/kg)		-	
Benzene	0.003	ND	ND	
Ethylbenzene	1.15	0.0265	0.00522	
Naphthalene	0.036	0.484	0.212	
Toluene	0.627	ND	ND	
Xylenes, Total	13.01	0.0335	0.00276	
Semivolatile Organic Compounds An	alyzed by EPA Method 8270D (mg/kg)			
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.66	0.0283	0.303	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.66	ND	0.234	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.66	ND	0.108	
Chrysene	0.66	0.0317	0.366	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.66	ND	ND	

#### Notes:

Bold font indicates the analyte was detected.

Bold font and shading indicates the concentration exceeds the SCDHEC RBSL.

EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram

ND - not detected at the reporting limit (or method detection limit if shown on the laboratory report). The soil laboratory report is provided in Appendix B.

RBSL - Risk-Based Screening Level

SCDHEC - South Carolina Department Of Health and Environmental Control

<sup>(1)</sup> South Carolina Risk-Based Screening Levels from the Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management Division, Revision 1.0 and 1.1 (SCDHEC, May 2001 and SCDHEC, February 2011) and the Underground Storage Tank Assessment Guidelines (SCDHEC, February 2006).

#### Table 2

### Laboratory Analytical Results - Groundwater 159 Iris Lane (Formerly 1106 Iris Lane) Laurel Bay Military Housing Area Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort Beaufort, South Carolina

Constituent	SCDHEC RBSLs (1)	Site-Specific Groundwater VISLs	Results Sample Collected 07/28/08				
		(µg/L) <sup>(2)</sup>	1106 Iris A	1106 Iris D			
Volatile Organic Compounds Analyzed by EPA Method 8260B (μg/L)							
Benzene	5	16.24	ND	ND			
Ethylbenzene	700	45.95	ND	ND			
Naphthalene	25	29.33	ND	ND			
Toluene	1000	105,445	ND	ND			
Xylenes, Total	10,000	2,133	ND	ND			
Semivolatile Organic Compounds Ana	lyzed by EPA Method 82700	) (μg/L)					
Benzo(a)anthracene	10	NA	ND	ND			
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	10	NA	ND	ND			
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	10	NA	ND	ND			
Chrysene	10	NA	ND	ND			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	10	NA	ND	ND			

#### Notes:

Bold font indicates the analyte was detected.

Bold font and shading indicates the concentration exceeds the SCDHEC RBSL and/or the Site-Specific Groundwater VISL.

EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency

JE - Johnson & Ettinger

NA - Not Applicable

ND - not detected at the reporting limit (or method detection limit if shown on the laboratory report). The groundwater laboratory report is provided in Appendix C.

RBSL - Risk-Based Screening Level

SCDHEC - South Carolina Department Of Health and Environmental Control

 $\mu g/L$  - micrograms per liter

VISL - Vapor Intrusion Screening Level

<sup>(1)</sup> South Carolina Risk-Based Screening Levels from the Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management Division, Revision 3.1 (SCDHEC, February 2016).

 $<sup>^{(2)}</sup>$  Site-specific groundwater VISLs were calculated using the EPA JE Model Spreadsheets (Version 3.1, February 2004) and conservative modeling inputs representative of a small single-story house with an 8 foot ceiling. Site-specific groundwater VISLs were developed based on a target risk level of  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ , a target hazard quotient of 1 (per target organ), and a default residential exposure scenario, assuming exposure for 24 hours/day, 350 days/year, for 26 years. Modeling was performed for a range of depths to groundwater for application as appropriate in different areas of the Laurel Bay Military Housing Area. The most conservative levels are presented for comparison. Refer to Appendix H of the Uniform Federal Policy Sampling Analysis and Sampling Plan for Vapor Media, Revision 4 (Resolution Consultants, April 2017) for additional information.

## Appendix A Multi-Media Selection Process for LBMH





**Appendix A - Multi-Media Selection Process for LBMH** 

# Appendix B UST Assessment Report



### Attachment 1

### South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC)

### Underground Storage Tank (UST) Assessment Report



Submit Completed Form To:
UST Program
SCDHEC
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
Telephone (803) 896-6240

Beaufort Military Compley Family Housing
Owner Name (Corporation, Individual, Public Agency, Other)

1510 Laurel Bay BlyD.

Mailing Address

Beaufort SC 29906

City State Zip Code

843 379-3305 Kyle Broad Foot
Area Code Telephone Number Contact Person

II. SITE IDENTIFICATION AND LOCATION

N/A

Permit I.D. # Actus Lend Lease Construction

Facility Name or Company Site Identifier

VIN XAYON ROAM PLAN IN 106 1215 LN.

Street Address or State Road (as applicable)

Beaufort, SC 29906 Beaufort

City ZIP County

# Attachment 2 III. INSURANCE INFORMATION

Insurance Statement
The petroleum release reported to DHEC on
Is there now, or has there ever been an insurance policy or other financial mechanism that covers this UST release? YES NO (check one)
If you answered YES to the above question, please complete the following information:
My policy provider is:  The policy deductible is:  The policy limit is:
If you have this type of insurance, please include a copy of the policy with this report.
And
I do/do not (circle one) wish to participate in the Superb Program.
IV. CERTIFICATION (To be signed by the UST owner/operator.)
I certify that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this and all attached documents; and that based on my inquiry of those individuals responsible for obtaining this information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete.
Name (Type or print.)
Signature To be completed by Notary Public:
Sworn before me this day of, 20
(Name)
Notary Public for the state of Please affix State seal if you are commissioned outside South Carolina

٢	V. UST INTORMATION	Tank 1	Tanl	Tank 3	Tank 4	Tank 5	Tank 6
		#2					
A.	Product(ex. Gas, Kerosene)	DIESEL					
B.	Capacity(ex. 1k, 2k)(APPPOX)	358g.		· · · · ·	•		
C.	Age						
D,	Construction Material(ex. Steel, FRP)	Steel					
3.	Month/Year of Last Use						
₹.	Depth (ft.) To Base of Tank	66"					
₹.	Spill Prevention Equipment Y/N	$\mathcal{N}$			_		
H.	Overfill Prevention Equipment Y/N	N					
•	Method of Closure Removed Filled	Removed	,				
•	Date Tanks Removed/Filled						
-	Visible Corrosion or Pitting Y/N	7-23-07				-	
	Visible Holes Y/N	N					
		У					
1.	Method of disposal for any USTs removed from the	e ground (at	ttach dis	posal ma	nifests)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Recycling - Scrap Ste	el		<del></del>		<del>-</del>	<del></del>
					<del></del> -		··
	Method of disposal for any liquid petroleum, sludge disposal manifests)						
	Solipification +	LITY	<u>D</u>	ROAD 1	uses	T (A)	NDE
	Soliphication +	4500	77771	F J	1/1	12 6.	

### VI. PIPII INFORMATION

Construction Material(ex. Steel, FRP)  Distance from UST to Dispenser  Number of Dispensers  Type of System Pressure or Suction	Steel NIA -0-					
Number of Dispensers	-0-					
<u>-</u>	<u> </u>				l	
Type of System Pressure or Suction	<u> </u>	1				<b>-</b>
	Electri					
Was Piping Removed from the Ground? Y/N	PUMP					
Visible Corrosion or Pitting Y/N	4					
Visible Holes Y/N	N	<u> </u>				
Age			1			
	2					
MINOR CORROSION WAS P	OR ES.	ent.	or t	7	pe.t	
VII. BRIEF SITE DESCRIPTION AND	HISTO	ORY				
Home Heating Oil Tr	ANK -	R	esid	ENTI	AZ	
·				•		
<u> </u>						
				_,		
	Visible Holes Y/N	Visible Holes Y/N	Visible Holes Y/N	Nage	Visible Holes Y/N	Nage

### VIII. SITE CON. JONS

		Yes	No	Unk
A	Were any petroleum-stained or contaminated soils found in the UST excavation, soil borings, trenches, or monitoring wells?  If yes, indicate depth and location on the site map.		×	4
B.	Were any petroleum odors detected in the excavation, soil borings, trenches, or monitoring wells?  If yes, indicate location on site map and describe the odor (strong, mild, etc.)		*	
C.	Was water present in the UST excavation, soil borings, or trenches?  If yes, how far below land surface (indicate location and depth)?		*	
D.	Did contaminated soils remain stockpiled on site after closure?  If yes, indicate the stockpile location on the site map.  Name of DHEC representative authorizing soil removal:		7.	
E.	Was a petroleum sheen or free product detected on any excavation or boring waters?  If yes, indicate location and thickness.		7	

В		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	<u> </u>	
Sample #	Location	Sample Type (Soil/Water)	Soil Type (Sand/Clay)	Depth*	Date/Time of Collection	Collected by	OVA#
					~ 02 NT	ECHEVARRY	
1	BOTTOM	5	MIX	66"	7:23-01 1140	Xr. Alanucy	
2	SIDE	5	MIX	42"	1150	DAMPHY?	ND
3							
4					<u> </u>		
5							
6							
. 7							<del></del>
88		·		<u> </u>			
. 9				<u> </u>			
10				<u> </u>			
11	 			<u> </u>			
12	ļ						
13						<u> </u>	
14					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
15					<del> </del>	<u> </u>	
16	-					<u> </u>	
17				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
18							
19	1						
20				1:	T and Surface		

\* = Depth Below the Surrounding Land Surface

SAMPLING METHODOLO

~ ~	

Provide a detailed description of the methods used to collect <u>and</u> store the samples. Also include the preservative used for each sample. Please use the space provided below.

EPA Method 8260 B Volatile ORGANIC Compounds
- Preservative. 24 SODIUM BISUlfate lea
EPA METHOD 8270 Poly Aromatic Hydro CARBONS
- No Preservative
ONE (1) SIDEWALL And ONE (1) Bottom
ONE (1) SIDEWALF And ONE (1) Bottom  SAMPLE WERE SECURED FROM TANK EXCAVATION  SAMPLES WERE STORED AND Shipped IN AN  INSURATED COOLER W/ ICE.
Samples were stoned and shipped in AN
INSUlated cooled w/ ICE.
·

### XI. RECEPTO.

		Yes	No
Α.	Are there any lakes, ponds, streams, or wetlands located within 1000 feet of the UST system?		<u> </u>
	If yes, indicate type of receptor, distance, and direction on site map.		/
В.	Are there any public, private, or irrigation water supply wells within 1000 feet of the UST system?	;	·
	If yes, indicate type of well, distance, and direction on site map.		<u> </u>
C.	Are there any underground structures (e.g., basements) Located within 100 feet of the UST system?		
	If yes, indicate type of structure, distance, and direction on site map.		V
D.	Are there any underground utilities (e.g., telephone, electricity, gas, water, sewer, storm drain) located within 100 feet of the UST system that could potentially come in contact with the contamination?		
	If yes, indicate the type of utility, distance, and direction on the site map.		<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>
E.	Has contaminated soil been identified at a depth less than 3 feet below land surface in an area that is not capped by asphalt or concrete?		1
	If yes, indicate the area of contaminated soil on the site map.		

### SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS RESULTS

NIA

Enter the soil analytical data for each soil boring for all COC in the table below and on the following page.

CoC	SB-1	SB-2	SB-3	SB-4	SB-5	SB-6	SB-7	SB-8
Benzene								
Toluene								i
Ethylbenzene	•							
Xylenes								
Naphthalene								
Benzo(a)anthracene					_			
Benzo(b)flouranthene								
Benzo(k)flouranthene								
Chrysene								
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene								
TPH (EPA 3550)								·

СоС	SB-9	SB-10	SB-11	SB-12	SB-13	SB-14	SB-15	SB-16
Benzene								-
Toluene								
Ethylbenzene								
Xylenes								
Naphthalene								
Benzo(a)anthracene				<u>-</u> ·				
Benzo(b)flouranthene			•					
Benzo(k)flouranthene					•			
Chrysene								
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene							-	
TPH (EPA 3550)								

SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS RESULTS (cont'd)

Enter the ground water analytical data for each sample for all CoC in the table below. If free product is present, indicate the measured thickness to the nearest 0.01 feet.

CoC	RBSL (µg/l)	W-1	W-2	W -3	W -4
Free Product Thickness	Nопе				
Benzene	5				
Toluene	1,000				
Ethylbenzene	700				
Xylenes	10,000				
Total BTEX	N/A				
мтве	40				
Naphthalene	25				
Benzo(a)anthracene	10				
Benzo(b)flouranthene	10				
Benzo(k)flouranthene	10				
Chrysene	10	·			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracen e	10				
EDB	.05				a .
1,2-DCA	.05				
Lead	Site specific				,

### ANALYTICAL RESULTS

You must submit the laboratory report and chain-of-custody form for the samples. These samples must be analyzed by a South Carolina certified laboratory.

(Attach Certified Analytical Results and Chain-of-Custody Here) (Please see Form #4)

DUTU()47 To assist us in using the proper analytical methods. is this work being conducted for regulatory purposes? Compliance Monitoring Client Name \_\_\_\_\_\_Client#: 2411 Address: Project Name: LAUREL BAY City/State/Zip Code: Project#: EP 2362 DHN MAHONEY Project Manager. Site/Location ID: State: Telephone Number: Fax: Report To: HILLS ECHEVARRIA Sampler Name: (Print Name) Invoice To: Bil Miss Sampler Signature: Quote #: PO#: Matrix Preservation & # of Containers Analyza For: QC Deliverables Standard None Rush (surcharges may apply) Level 2 (Batch QC) Date Needed: Level 3 Level 4 Fax Results: Y N Other: G = Grab, SAMPLE ID REMARKS 1215 DOTTOMUI 0/ 1 CAMO CAHO  $\partial \delta$ B Special Instructions: LABORATORY COMMENTS: Init Lab Temp: Rec Lab Tempa: hevacoric TIME PAY Custody Seals: Y Bottles Supplied by Test America: 86 23 259 1 172 Received By:

Date:

Time:

Method of Shipment: Fo / X TA

Received By:

Relinquished By:

UNHOUTH To assist us in using the proper analytical methods. is this work being conducted for regulatory purposes? Compliance Monitoring Client#: 2411 Client Name Project Name: LAUREL BAY Address: City/State/Zip Code: WHILL MAHONEY Project Manager: Site/Location ID: State: Telephone Number: Fax: Report To: ECHEVARRIA Sampler Name: (Print Name) Invoice To: Sampler Signature: Quote #: PO#: Matrix Preservation & # of Containers Analyze For: Standard QC Deliverables Rush (surcharges may apply) None ✓ Level 2 Date Needed: (Batch QC) Level 3 ime Sampled Fax Results: Y N Level 4 G = Grab, ( Other: ğ SO. SAMPLE ID REMARKS IRIS BUTTOM OI 16 1056 GARDENIABOTTONO 1700 × 1056GARDENIA SIDE OD 1700 HARDEN'A BOTTON & 1730 2 Special Instructions: LABORATORY COMMENTS: Init Lab Temp: Rec Lab Temp: Reliable beorg be work a Bd. Time?O( Custody Seals: Y N 1)2 Title 23) Received By: Bottles Supplied by Test America: Date\* 8623 2591 Relinquished By: Date: Received By: Date: Method of Shipment: Fed Ex Time:

													L	/W1	100	ノゴ	7		. (	Pag	je	\$ of 2	, <b>)</b>
Test/meric	a														To <b>as</b> i	sist us	in using	the pro	per ana	lyticaiπ	nethods.	,	
ANACTICAL JESTING CORPORAL	ON									_	_				ខេ ហទេ	Com	peing ci pliance	onaucte Monito	ka tor ne ring	guiator	y purpos	<b>8</b> \$?	* .
Client Name	1.12			<del></del> .				Cli	ent#	<u>۔</u>	24	<u> </u>						_	_			·	****
Address:				····									_	Projec	t Name	اساً:	4U121	EL [	SA?	r.		·	
City/State/Zip Code:	<del></del>	<del>,</del>											_		roject#								_
Project Manager:	1 vitic	16/	<u>10/</u>	<u>7</u> Eス							,				ation ID:						State:		_
Telephone Number:				<del></del>		Fa	DC:								port To:						<b>-</b>		
Sampler Name: (Print Name)	HPK E		<del>1</del> E7	1665	Ai								_		oice To:								-
Sampler Signature:	Fig Jon	M	4										8		Quote #:					PO#	ļ.	<del></del>	
######################################	<del></del>	<del></del>	_	Matrix		ervati	on &	# of (	Conta	iners		1	Ţ	-	Analy	ze For					·		Pro
Standard				Drinking Water S - Soil/Soiid Specify Other								$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$		7	T	7	$T^{-}$	7	T	7		<b>QC</b> Deliverables	ı ا
Rush (surcharges may apply)		osite		king \		-							$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$		' /		' /	' /		' /	′ /	None Level 2	
Date Needed:	ļ	Composite		e S								I	Ä	/								(Batch QC)	
Fax Results: Y N	<u>}</u>	) <u>=</u> 0	8	DW						ecify)		1/	\$270									Level 3 Level 4	
Fax Results: Y N	ime Sampled	Grab	in the second	udge Sroun		ı		5		Speci	Η,	χ	: <b></b>	-								Other:	
SAMPLE ID	<u> </u>	3 = 6	Field Filtered	SL - Sludge GW - Groun? WW - Waster	ဋ်	귳	St.	Methanol	g e	ther (	/ /	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				/	1			/			
" 10°61815 BITTOMOI 1-23	07 1010			0,0,3		<del>*   *</del>		1	7	2	×	1 1/4		┪	-			<del></del>	<del> </del>	┼─	<del> </del>	REMARKS	21
10361265 SINE 02 7-23	5011020	NC				7	$\top$	j	2	2	*	17		╁			<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del> -	<del> </del>	<del> </del>			22
Mochania												<del>                                     </del>	-	<del> </del>			<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<b></b> -	,	100
Mary																	1			<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>		1
" MOGIKIS BUTTOMO1 728			_			_	↓	ì	2	2	X	7											23
91106125 SIDE 02 723	67[1]50	121			_ _	$\bot$	_	1	2	2	<u>`X</u>	1%											24
· HAD TRIS BOTTOM OF 723	7/1500	G	-		-	- -	╀	1	2	2	X	<u> </u>		ļ	<u> </u>								35
1116 1215 BOTTOM DI 724	67/ <u>500</u> 37/500	<u>C</u>			-	+	╀	<del>                                     </del>	2	2	<u>^</u>	yė.	<u> </u>	<del> </del>	ļ			ļ					76
#116 125 SIDE 02 724	7/1/2/V 01/1/2/00	2	┪		-	╌┼╾	+-	1	2	<u>ا</u>	*	<u> </u>		ļ			ļ	ļ					127
Special instructions:	WILLIALY)	17-1	K		L		<u>-</u> L		4	<u>4</u> 1	*	1/4	<u> </u>	L			LARO	PATOR	V COL	MENT	<u>.                                    </u>		28
101 - 1 - 1 - 1												,					1 11 .	nit Lab		umcini i	o.		<u> </u>
Chras Echevar	1 /	<del>/                                    </del>					<del>/*</del>		<u> </u>	7	<del> ,</del>	g /		_/	<del> </del>			٠	Temp:				İ
Retirique hee Back &	Sale!	97	riana C	100	Recei	ved t	2	14	Ŋ	4		1	8	67	Dig C	7							1
Minds wholey let		, ,		ا د <del>د</del> ر	•	ved B		/	7	ζ΄		as		/	Time?	~ `		dy Sea s Supp		N Test A	N/A merica;	Y N	
Relinquished By:	7							7	1	-/4	NV		Date: }	1/0/	rime? A		86	23	259	7.1.7	174	7 n	/
:	Date:		Time:	!	Keca	ived E	3y:	V		<u>/</u>			Date:		Time:		Metho	d of Sh	ipment	i Fe	1EX ~	107 H (V/	lan()



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

4310 East Anderson Road Orlando, FL 32812 \* 800-851-2560 \* Fax 407-856-0886

Client: EPG, INC.

Attn:

PO BOX 1096

JOHN MAHONEY

MT PLEASANT, SC 29465

Work Order: Project:

Project Number:

OQH0044 LAUREL BAY

EP2362

Sampled: 07/23/07-07/27/07

Received: 08/02/07

### LABORATORY REPORT

Sample ID: 1036 IRIS SIDE 02 - Lab Number: OQH0044-22 - Matrix: Solid/Soil

CAS#	Analyte	Result	Q	Units	MDL	PQL	Dil Factor	Analyzed Date/Time	Ву	Method	Batch
	Chemistry Parameters			-							
ÍΑ	% Solids	93.7	Q	%.	0.100	0.100	1	08/03/07 17:20	RRP	EPA 160.3	7H03059
	Organic Compounds by EPA		0B								
1-43-2	Benzene	0.170	U	ug/kg dry	0.170	0.465	1	08/04/07 02:38	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
00-41-4	Ethylbenzene	1.79		ug/kg dry	0.197	0.465	I	08/04/07 02:38	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
-20-3	Naphthalene	12.2		ug/kg dry	0.257	0.465	1	08/04/07 02:38	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
08-88-3	Toluene	0.402	U	ug/kg dry	0.402	0.465	1	08/04/07 02:38	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
30-20-7	Xylenes, total	5.85		ug/kg dry	0.242	0.465	I	08/04/07 02:38	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
rrogate:	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (73-137%)	117%						•			
rrogate:	4-Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%)	103 %									
rrogate: I	Dibromofluoromethane (55-145%)	101 %									
rrogate: 1	Toluene-d8 (80-117%)	101 %									
	ear Aromatic Hydrocarbons b	y EPA Meth	red 827	70							
-32-9	Acenaphthene	79.0	υ	ug/kg dry	79.0	178	1	08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
8-96-8	Acenaphthylene	104	U	ug/kg dry	104	178	1	08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
0-12-7	Anthracene	56.8	U	ug/kg dry	56.8	178	1	08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
-55-3	Benzo (a) anthracene	19.3	U	ug/kg dry	19.3	178	1	08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
5-99-2	Benzo (b) fluoranthene	18.8	U	u <b>g/kg dry</b>	18.8	178	1	08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
7-08-9	Benzo (k) fluoranthene	18.8	U	ug/kg dry	18.8	178	1	08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
1-24-2	Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	18.5	บ	ug/kg dry	18.5	178	1	08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
-32-8	Benzo (a) pyrene	21.9	U	ug/kg dry	21.9	178	1	08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	89.4	U	ug/kg dry	89.4	178	t	08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
8-01-9	Chrysene	21.3	U	u <b>g/kg dry</b>	21.3	178	1	08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
70-3	Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	23.4	U	ug/kg dry	23.4	178		08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
5-44 <b>-0</b>	Fluoranthene	25.6	ប	ug/kg dry	25.6	178		08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
73-7	Fluorene	69.7	U	ug/kg dry	69.7	178		08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
3-39-5	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	23.1	U	ug/kg dry	23.1	178			REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	76.0	U	ug/kg dry	76.0	178			REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
20-3	Naphthalene	71.5	U	ug/kg dry	71.5	178			REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
01-8	Phenanthrene	42.0	Ü	ug/kg dry	42.0	178			REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
-00-0	Ругеле	36.2	Ū	ug/kg dry	36.2	178		08/09/07 01:51	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
rogate: 2	-Fluorobiphenyl (24-121%)	7%	Ji	3 5 J		0	•		I/DIVI	L. A 02/00	111000011


### LABORATORY REPORT

107%

### Sample ID: 1106 IRIS BOTTOM 01 - Lab Number: OQH0044-23 - Matrix: Solid/Soil

AS#	Analyte	Result	Q	Units	MDL	PQL	Dil Factor	Analyzed Date/Time	Ву	Method	Batch
meral (	Chemistry Parameters % Solids	87.3	Q	%.	0.100	0.100	1	08/07/07 14:10	RRP	EPA 160.3	7H07028
·latile (	Organic Compounds by El	PA Method 8260	)B							-	
43-2	Benzene	5.57	RL2,U	ug/kg dry	5.57	15.2	50	08/04/07 15:23	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
-41-4	Ethylbenzene	26.5		ug/kg dry	6.44	15.2	50	08/04/07 15:23	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050

rogate: Terphenyl-d14 (44-171%)



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

4310 East Anderson Road Orlando, FL 32812 \* 800-851-2560 \* Fax 407-856-0886

Client: EPG, INC.

Attn:

PO BOX 1096

JOHN MAHONEY

MT PLEASANT, SC 29465

Work Order: Project:

Project Number:

OQH0044

LAUREL BAY

Sampled: 07/23/07-07/27/07

Received: 08/02/07

### LABORATORY REPORT

Sample ID: 1106 IRIS BOTTOM 01 - Lab Number: OQH0044-23 - Matrix: Solid/Soil

CAS#	Analyte	Result	Q	Units	MDL	PQL	Dil Factor	Analyzed Date/Time	Ву	Method	Batch
Volatile	Organic Compounds by EPA	Method 826	60В - Co	nt.	_			<u>-</u>			
11-20-3	Naphthalene	484		ug/kg dry	8.41	15.2	50	08/04/07 15:23	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
08-88-3	Toluene	13.1	RL2,U	ug/kg dry	13.1	15.2	50	08/04/07 15:23	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
330-20-7	Xylenes, total	33.5		ug/kg dry	7.90	15.2	50	08/04/07 15:23	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
'urrogate:	I,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (73-137%)	102 %									
urrogate:	4-Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%)	112%									
'urrogate: i	Dibromofluoromethane (55-145%)	98 %									
urrogate:	Toluene-d8 (80-117%)	98 %									
olynucle	ear Aromatic Hydrocarbons l	y EPA Met	hod 827	0							
3-32-9	Acenaphthene	84.8	U	ug/kg dry.	84.8	191	1	08/09/07 02:13	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
08-96-8	Acenaphthylene	112	U	ug/kg dry	112	191	1	08/09/07 02:13	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
20-12-7	Anthracene	69.1	1	ug/kg dry	61.0	191	t	08/09/07 02:13	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
5-55-3	Benzo (a) anthracene	28.3	<u> </u>	ug/kg dry	20.7	191	1	08/09/07 02:13	REM	EPA 9270C	71106005
)5-99-2	Benzo (b) fluoranthene	20.1	U	ug/kg dry	. 20.1	191	1	08/09/07 02:13	REM		7H06005
)7-08-9	Benzo (k) fluoranthene	20.1	U	ug/kg dry	20.1	191	1	08/09/07 02:13	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
1-24-2	Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	19.9	ប	ug/kg dry	19.9	191	1	08/09/07 02:13	REM		7H06005
)-32-8	Benzo (a) pyrene	23.5	Ų	ug/kg dry	23.5	191	1	08/09/07 02:13	REM		7H06005
)-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	96.0	U	ug/kg dry	96.0	191	1	08/09/07 02:13		EPA 8270C	7H06005
8-01-9	Chrysene	31.7	I	ug/kg dry	22.9	191		08/09/07 02:13	REM		7H06005
i-70 <b>-</b> 3	Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	25.1	U	ug/kg dry	25.I	191		08/09/07 02:13	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
16-44-0	Fluoranthene	27.5	ប	ug/kg dry	27.5	191		08/09/07 02:13	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
-73-7	Fluorene	74.9	บ	ug/kg dry	74.9	191		08/09/07 02:13	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
3-39-5	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	24.8	υ	ug/kg dry	24.8	191		08/09/07 02:13	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	81.6	U	ug/kg dry	81.6	191		08/09/07 02:13	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
-20-3	Naphthalene	76.8	U	ug/kg dry	76.8	191		08/09/07 02:13	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
<b>-</b> 01-8	Phenanthrene	221	-	ug/kg dry	45.1	191		08/09/07 02:13	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
9-00-0	Pyrene	72.2	I	ug/kg dry	38.9	191			REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
rrogate: 2	-Fluorobiphenyl (24-121%)	19%	Л	- <i>ay</i>	20.7	-/-	•	GGIGHUT UZ.IJ.	KEIVI	EFA 04/UC	/1100000
rrogate: N	itrobenzene-d5 (19-111%)	9%	J1								
	erphenyl-d14 (44-171%)	67 %									

### LABORATORY REPORT

Sample ID: 1106 IRIS SIDE 02 = Lab Number: OQH0044-24 - Matrix: Solid/Soil

4S#	Analyte	Result	Q	Units ·	MDL	PQL	Dil Factor	Analyzed Date/Time	Ву	Method	Batch
meral (	Chemistry Parameters									<del>- 12</del>	<del></del>
	% Solids	81.0	Q	<b>%</b> .	0.100	0.100	1	08/07/07 14:10	RRP	EPA 160.3	7H07028
·latile (	Organic Compounds by EPA	Method 8260	В								
43-2	Benzene	0.119	U	ug/kg dry	0.119	0.324	1	08/04/07 03:12	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5.22		ug/kg dry	0.137	0.324	1	08/04/07 03:12	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
20-3	Naphthalene	212	L	ug/kg dry	0.179	0.324	1	08/04/07 03:12	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
-88-3	Toluene	0.280	ប	ug/kg dry	0.280	0.324	1	08/04/07 03:12	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
0-20-7	Xylenes, total	2.76		ug/kg dry	0.168	0.324		08/04/07 03:12	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
ogate: I	2-Dichloroethane-d4 (73-137%)	125 %					-			DI 71 0200D	11103030

### TestAmerica - Orlando, FL

Enid Ortiz For Shali Brown Project Manager



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

4310 East Anderson Road Orlando, FL 32812 \* 800-851-2560 \* Fax 407-856-0886

Client: EPG, INC.

PO BOX 1096

MT PLEASANT, SC 29465

Work Order: Project:

Project Number:

OQH0044

LAUREL BAY

EP2362

Sampled: 07/23/07-07/27/07

Received: 08/02/07

Attn: JOHN MAHONEY

### LABORATORY REPORT

Sample ID: 1106 IRIS SIDE 02 - Lab Number: OQH0044-24 - Matrix: Solid/Soil

Analyte	Result	Q	Units	MDL	PQL	Dil Factor	Analyzed Date/Time	Ву	Method	Batch
Organic Compounds by EPA		0B - Co	nt.	<del></del>				-	<u> </u>	<del></del> -
-	74 %									
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	104 %									
		hod 827	<b>'</b> 0							
•			ug/kg dry	91.4	206	1	08/09/07 02:35	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
• •		Ü	ug/kg dry	121	206	1	08/09/07 02:35	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
· -	675		ug/kg dry	65.8	206	1	08/09/07 02:35	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
Benzo (a) anthracene	303		ug/kg dry	22.3	206	1	08/09/07 02:35	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	234		ug/kg dry	21.7	206	1	08/09/07 02:35	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	108	I	ug/kg dry	21.7	206	1	08/09/07 02:35	REM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	42.0	I	ug/kg dry	21.4	206	1		REM		7H06005
Benzo (a) pyrene	135	· •	ug/kg day	25.4	206	1				7H06005
1-Methylnaphthalene	4200			104	206					7H06005
Chrysene	366			24.7	206					7H06005
Dibenz (a,h) anthracene	27.1	U			<b>-</b>	_				7H06005
Fluoranthene	663	_				1				7H06005
Fluorene	1450					1				
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	-	11				-				7H06005
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Ŭ,							-	7H06005
• •		7.1		· ·			•			7H06005
	_	J								7H06005
										7H06005
•			ug/kg ary	41.9	206	ī	08/09/07 02:35	KEM	EPA 8270C	7H06005
,		7.1								
· ·		11								
	Organic Compounds by EPA  Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%)  bibromofluoromethane (55-145%)  oluene-d8 (80-117%)  ar Aromatic Hydrocarbons I  Acenaphthene  Acenaphthylene  Anthracene  Benzo (a) anthracene  Benzo (b) fluoranthene  Benzo (g,h,i) perylene  Benzo (a) pyrene  1-Methylnaphthalene  Chrysene  Dibenz (a,h) anthracene  Fluoranthene	Organic Compounds by EPA Method 8266           Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%)         74 %           Sibromofluoromethane (55-145%)         104 %           Oluene-d8 (80-117%)         92 %           ar Aromatic Hydrocarbons by EPA Method         Acenaphthene           Acenaphthene         870           Acenaphthylene         121           Anthracene         675           Benzo (a) anthracene         303           Benzo (b) fluoranthene         108           Benzo (k) fluoranthene         108           Benzo (g,h,i) perylene         42.0           Benzo (a) pyrene         135           1-Methylnaphthalene         4200           Chrysene         366           Dibenz (a,h) anthracene         27.1           Fluoranthene         1450           Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene         26.7           2-Methylnaphthalene         4640           Naphthalene         82.8           Phenanthrene         3020           Pyrene         754           Fluorobiphenyl (24-121%)         38 %           trobenzene-d5 (19-111%)         17 %	Organic Compounds by EPA Method 8260B - Composition of the promofluor observer (59-118%)         74 %           Dibromofluor omethane (55-145%)         104 %           Dibromofluor omethane (55-145%)         104 %           Doluene-d8 (80-117%)         92 %           ar Aromatic Hydrocarbons by EPA Method 827           Acenaphthene         870           Acenaphthylene         121         U           Anthracene         675         Benzo (a) anthracene         303           Benzo (b) fluoranthene         134         I           Benzo (k) fluoranthene         108         I           Benzo (g,h,i) perylene         42.0         I           Benzo (a) pyrene         135         Y           1-Methylnaphthalene         4200         Chrysene           Dibenz (a,h) anthracene         27.1         U           Fluoranthene         1450         I           Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene         26.7         U           2-Methylnaphthalene         4640         Naphthalene         82.8         U           Phenanthrene         3020         Pyrene         754           Fluorobiphenyl (24-121%)         38 %         17 %         J1	Organic Compounds by EPA Method 8260B - Cont.           Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%)         74 %           Dibromofluoromethane (55-145%)         104 %           Oluene-d8 (80-117%)         92 %           ar Aromatic Hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8270         Acenaphthene         870         ug/kg dry           Acenaphthylene         121         U ug/kg dry         Ug/kg dry           Anthracene         675         ug/kg dry         Ug/kg dry           Benzo (a) anthracene         303         ug/kg dry         Ug/kg dry           Benzo (b) fluoranthene         108         I ug/kg dry         Ug/kg dry           Benzo (k) fluoranthene         108         I ug/kg dry         Ug/kg dry           Benzo (a) pyrene         135         I ug/kg dry         Ug/kg dry           I-Methylnaphthalene         4200         ug/kg dry         Ug/kg dry           Chrysene         366         ug/kg dry         Ug/kg dry           Fluoranthene         663         ug/kg dry           Fluorene         1450         ug/kg dry           Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene         26.7         U ug/kg dry           2-Methylnaphthalene         4640         ug/kg dry           Naphthalene         82.8         U ug/kg dry <t< td=""><td>Organic Compounds by EPA Method 8260B - Cont.           Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%)         74 %           bibromofluoromethane (55-145%)         104 %           oluene-d8 (80-117%)         92 %           ar Aromatic Hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8270           Acenaphthene         870         ug/kg dry         91.4           Acenaphthylene         121         U ug/kg dry         121           Anthracene         675         ug/kg dry         22.3           Benzo (a) anthracene         303         ug/kg dry         22.3           Benzo (b) fluoranthene         108         I ug/kg dry         21.7           Benzo (k) fluoranthene         108         I ug/kg dry         21.7           Benzo (a) pyrene         135         I ug/kg dry         21.4           Benzo (a) pyrene         135         I ug/kg dry         25.4           I-Methylnaphthalene         4200         ug/kg dry         24.7           Dibenz (a,h) anthracene         27.1         U ug/kg dry         24.7           Fluorene         1450         ug/kg dry         29.7           Fluorene         1450         ug/kg dry         26.7           Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene         26.7         U ug/kg dry         26.7</td><td>Organic Compounds by EPA Method 8260B - Cont.  Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%) 74 %  ibbromofluoromethane (55-145%) 104 %  oluene-d8 (80-117%) 92 %  ar Aromatic Hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8270  Acenaphthene 870 ug/kg dry 91.4 206  Acenaphthene 675 ug/kg dry 121 206  Anthracene 675 ug/kg dry 22.3 206  Benzo (a) anthracene 303 ug/kg dry 22.3 206  Benzo (b) fluoranthene 108 I ug/kg dry 21.7 206  Benzo (b) fluoranthene 108 I ug/kg dry 21.7 206  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 I ug/kg dry 21.4 206  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 I ug/kg dry 21.4 206  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 I ug/kg dry 21.4 206  Chrysene 366 ug/kg dry 104 206  Chrysene 366 ug/kg dry 24.7 206  Dibenz (a,h) anthracene 27.1 U ug/kg dry 27.1 206  Fluoranthene 663 ug/kg dry 29.7 206  Fluoranthene 663 ug/kg dry 29.7 206  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 29.7 206  Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene 26.7 U ug/kg dry 80.7 206  Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene 26.7 U ug/kg dry 87.9 206  Naphthalene 82.8 U ug/kg dry 87.9 206  Naphthalene 82.8 U ug/kg dry 88.8 206  Phenanthrene 3020 ug/kg dry 48.6 206  Pyrene 754 ug/kg dry 41.9 206  Fluorobiphenyl (24-121%) 38 %  trobenzene-d5 (19-111%) 17 % J1</td><td>Analyte Result Q Units MDL PQL Factor    Post</td><td>Analyte Result Q Units MDL PQL Factor Date/Time  Progranic Compounds by EPA Method 8260B - Cont.  Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%) 74 %  Wibromofluoromethane (55-145%) 104 %  oluene-d8 (80-117%) 92 %  Are Aromatic Hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8270  Acenaphthene 870 ug/kg dry 91.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Acenaphthylene 121 U ug/kg dry 121 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Anthracene 675 ug/kg dry 22.3 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Benzo (a) anthracene 303 ug/kg dry 21.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Benzo (b) fluoranthene 108 I ug/kg dry 21.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Benzo (g,b,i) perylene 42.0 I ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 : ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 : ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 : ug/kg dry 25.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  L-Methylnaphthalene 4200 ug/kg dry 104 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Chrysene 366 ug/kg dry 24.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Chrysene 366 ug/kg dry 27.1 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluoranthene 663 ug/kg dry 27.1 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluoranthene 663 ug/kg dry 29.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 29.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Pyrene 754 ug/kg dry 48.6 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Pyrene 754 ug/kg dry 48.6 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorobiphenyl (24-121%) 38 %  trobenzene-d5 (19-111%) 17 % J1</td><td>Analyte Result Q Units MDL PQL Factor Mary Carlo Date / Time By  Progranic Compounds by EPA Method 8260B - Cont.  Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%) 74 %  Wibromofluoromethane (55-145%) 104 %  oluene-d8 (80-117%) 92 %  Acenaphthene 870 ug/kg dry 91.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Acenaphthylene 121 U ug/kg dry 121 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Acenaphthylene 675 ug/kg dry 22.3 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (a) anthracene 675 ug/kg dry 21.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (b) fluoranthene 108 I ug/kg dry 21.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (b) fluoranthene 108 I ug/kg dry 21.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 ! ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 ! ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 ! ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 ! ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Chrysene 366 ug/kg dry 24.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Dibenz (a,h) anthracene 27.1 U ug/kg dry 24.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 29.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 300 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 300 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 300 ug/kg dry 80.8 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 300 ug/kg dry 80.8 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorobiphenyl (24-121%) 38 %  Fuorbanthrene 300 ug/kg dry 41.9 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM</td><td>  Post   Pact   /td></t<>	Organic Compounds by EPA Method 8260B - Cont.           Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%)         74 %           bibromofluoromethane (55-145%)         104 %           oluene-d8 (80-117%)         92 %           ar Aromatic Hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8270           Acenaphthene         870         ug/kg dry         91.4           Acenaphthylene         121         U ug/kg dry         121           Anthracene         675         ug/kg dry         22.3           Benzo (a) anthracene         303         ug/kg dry         22.3           Benzo (b) fluoranthene         108         I ug/kg dry         21.7           Benzo (k) fluoranthene         108         I ug/kg dry         21.7           Benzo (a) pyrene         135         I ug/kg dry         21.4           Benzo (a) pyrene         135         I ug/kg dry         25.4           I-Methylnaphthalene         4200         ug/kg dry         24.7           Dibenz (a,h) anthracene         27.1         U ug/kg dry         24.7           Fluorene         1450         ug/kg dry         29.7           Fluorene         1450         ug/kg dry         26.7           Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene         26.7         U ug/kg dry         26.7	Organic Compounds by EPA Method 8260B - Cont.  Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%) 74 %  ibbromofluoromethane (55-145%) 104 %  oluene-d8 (80-117%) 92 %  ar Aromatic Hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8270  Acenaphthene 870 ug/kg dry 91.4 206  Acenaphthene 675 ug/kg dry 121 206  Anthracene 675 ug/kg dry 22.3 206  Benzo (a) anthracene 303 ug/kg dry 22.3 206  Benzo (b) fluoranthene 108 I ug/kg dry 21.7 206  Benzo (b) fluoranthene 108 I ug/kg dry 21.7 206  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 I ug/kg dry 21.4 206  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 I ug/kg dry 21.4 206  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 I ug/kg dry 21.4 206  Chrysene 366 ug/kg dry 104 206  Chrysene 366 ug/kg dry 24.7 206  Dibenz (a,h) anthracene 27.1 U ug/kg dry 27.1 206  Fluoranthene 663 ug/kg dry 29.7 206  Fluoranthene 663 ug/kg dry 29.7 206  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 29.7 206  Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene 26.7 U ug/kg dry 80.7 206  Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene 26.7 U ug/kg dry 87.9 206  Naphthalene 82.8 U ug/kg dry 87.9 206  Naphthalene 82.8 U ug/kg dry 88.8 206  Phenanthrene 3020 ug/kg dry 48.6 206  Pyrene 754 ug/kg dry 41.9 206  Fluorobiphenyl (24-121%) 38 %  trobenzene-d5 (19-111%) 17 % J1	Analyte Result Q Units MDL PQL Factor    Post	Analyte Result Q Units MDL PQL Factor Date/Time  Progranic Compounds by EPA Method 8260B - Cont.  Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%) 74 %  Wibromofluoromethane (55-145%) 104 %  oluene-d8 (80-117%) 92 %  Are Aromatic Hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8270  Acenaphthene 870 ug/kg dry 91.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Acenaphthylene 121 U ug/kg dry 121 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Anthracene 675 ug/kg dry 22.3 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Benzo (a) anthracene 303 ug/kg dry 21.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Benzo (b) fluoranthene 108 I ug/kg dry 21.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Benzo (g,b,i) perylene 42.0 I ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 : ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 : ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 : ug/kg dry 25.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  L-Methylnaphthalene 4200 ug/kg dry 104 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Chrysene 366 ug/kg dry 24.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Chrysene 366 ug/kg dry 27.1 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluoranthene 663 ug/kg dry 27.1 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluoranthene 663 ug/kg dry 29.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 29.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Pyrene 754 ug/kg dry 48.6 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Pyrene 754 ug/kg dry 48.6 206 1 08/09/07 02:35  Fluorobiphenyl (24-121%) 38 %  trobenzene-d5 (19-111%) 17 % J1	Analyte Result Q Units MDL PQL Factor Mary Carlo Date / Time By  Progranic Compounds by EPA Method 8260B - Cont.  Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%) 74 %  Wibromofluoromethane (55-145%) 104 %  oluene-d8 (80-117%) 92 %  Acenaphthene 870 ug/kg dry 91.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Acenaphthylene 121 U ug/kg dry 121 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Acenaphthylene 675 ug/kg dry 22.3 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (a) anthracene 675 ug/kg dry 21.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (b) fluoranthene 108 I ug/kg dry 21.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (b) fluoranthene 108 I ug/kg dry 21.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 ! ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 ! ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 ! ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Benzo (a) pyrene 135 ! ug/kg dry 21.4 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Chrysene 366 ug/kg dry 24.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Dibenz (a,h) anthracene 27.1 U ug/kg dry 24.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 29.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 1450 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 300 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 300 ug/kg dry 80.7 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 300 ug/kg dry 80.8 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorene 300 ug/kg dry 80.8 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM  Fluorobiphenyl (24-121%) 38 %  Fuorbanthrene 300 ug/kg dry 41.9 206 1 08/09/07 02:35 REM	Post   Pact   Pact

### LABORATORY REPORT

### Sample ID: 1120 IRIS BOTTOM 01 - Lab Number: OQH0044-25 - Matrix: Solid/Soil

AS#	Analyte	Result	Q	Units	MDL	PQL	Dil Factor	Analyzed Date/Time	Ву	Method	Batch
meral	Chemistry Parameters		oren same	TATOLICA .	***********	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<del></del>	224-7-2F4.
	% Solids	83.0	Q	%.	0.100	0.100	ı	08/07/07 14:10	RRP	EPA 160.3	7H07028
·latile (	Organic Compounds by EPA	Method 8260	В							2111 100,5	71107025
43-2	Benzene	0.0789	U	ug/kg dry	0.0789	0.216	1	08/04/07 03:29	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
-41-4	Ethylbenzene	0.522		ug/kg dry	0.0912	0.216	1	08/04/07 03:29	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
20-3	Naphthalene	7.87		ug/kg dry	0.119	0.216	1	08/04/07 03:29	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
-88 <b>-</b> 3	Toluene	0.272		ug/kg dry	0.186	0.216	1	08/04/07 03:29	IWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
0-20-7	Xylenes, total	0.884	. *	ug/kg dry	0.112	0.216	1	08/04/07 03:29	JWT	EPA 8260B	7H03050
ogate: l	,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (73-137%)	131 %		00,		*	•	00/04/07 03:27		LI A 0200D	/1103030
ogate: 4	-Bromofluorobenzene (59-118%)	62 %		* •						1.1	4
ogate: L	Dibromofluoromethane (55-145%)	108 %						-			•
ogate: 7	oluene-d8 (80-117%)	84 %									
			_								

### ynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8270

TestAmerica - Orlando, FL Enid Ortiz For Shali Brown

Project Manager



1106

A\_B TANK I BASE 66"

IRIS LANE

TANK I EXCAVATION

A-SOIL TEST SIDE SAMPLE @ 42" B-SOIL TEST BOTTOM SAMPLE @ 66"



CUSTOMER:

BEAUFORT MILITARY COMPLEX FAMILY HOUSING

SITE ADDRESS :

1106 IRIS LANE

SCALE:

1/16"=1'-0"

SUPPLIER:

EPG INC.

9/22/2007

EPG INC.

P.O. BOX 1096 MOUNT PLEASANT, SC 29465-1096

# Appendix C Laboratory Analytical Report - Groundwater





Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 2225 Riverside Dr. Asheville, NC 28804 (828)254-7176 Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 9800 Kincey Ave. Suite 100 Huntersville, NC 28078 (704)875-9092

### **ANALYTICAL RESULTS**

Project:

LAUREL BAY SAMPLING 7/28/08


Pace Project No.: 9224472

Sample: 1100 IRIS A	Lab ID: 92244	72019	Collected: 07/2	3/08 15:00	Received: 07	7/30/08 17:00 M	Matrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qua
8260 MSV Low Level	Analytical Metho	d: EPA 82	60					
Ethylbenzene	ND ug/L		1.	) 1		08/02/08 00:53	100-41-4	
Naphthalene	ND ug/L		1.			08/02/08 00:53	91-20-3	
Toluene	ND ug/L		1.			08/02/08 00:53	108-88-3	
m&p-Xylene	ND ug/L		2.	) 1		08/02/08 00:53	1330-20-7	
o-Xylene	ND ug/L		1.			08/02/08 00:53		
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	96 %		87-10			08/02/08 00:53	460-00-4	
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	98 %		85-11			08/02/08 00:53		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	100 %		79-12			08/02/08 00:53		
Toluene-d8 (S)	99 %		70-12			08/02/08 00:53		
Sample: 1106 IRIS A	Lab ID: 92244	72020	Collected: 07/2	3/08 15:20	Received: 07	7/30/08 17:00 M	Matrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit		Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8270 MSSV PAH by SIM SPE	Analytical Metho	d. EPA 82	70 by SIM Prepa	-	•	•		
Acenaphthene	ND ug/L		2.			08/12/08 17:34	83-32-9	
Acenaphthylene	ND ug/L		1.			08/12/08 17:34		
Anthracene	ND ug/L		0.05			08/12/08 17:34		
Benzo(a)anthracene	ND ug/L		0.03			08/12/08 17:34		
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND ug/L					08/12/08 17:34		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND ug/L		0.2			08/12/08 17:34		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND ug/L		0.3 0.2			08/12/08 17:34		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	_					08/12/08 17:34		
Chrysene	ND ug/L ND ug/L		0.2			08/12/08 17:34		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	_		0.1			08/12/08 17:34		
Fluoranthene	ND ug/L		0.2			08/12/08 17:34		
Fluorene	ND ug/L ND ug/L		0.3			08/12/08 17:34		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND ug/L		0.3			08/12/08 17:34		
1-Methylnaphthalene	_		0.2			08/12/08 17:34		
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND ug/L ND ug/L		2.			08/12/08 17:34		
Naphthalene	ND ug/L		2,1			08/12/08 17:34		
Phenanthrene	ND ug/L		1.5			08/12/08 17:34		
Pyrene	•		0.2			08/12/08 17:34		
Nitrobenzene-d5 (S)	ND ug/L 50 %		0.10					
2-Fluorobiphenyl (S)	50 % 60 %		50-150 50-150			08/12/08 17:34 08/12/08 17:34		
Z-Fluorobiphenyi (S) Terphenyl-d14 (S)	64 %		50-150 50-150			08/12/08 17:34		
B260 MSV Low Level	Analytical Metho	d: FPA 826		' '	06/03/06 00:00	06/12/06 17:34	1710-31-0	
Benzene	ND ug/L		1.1	) 1		08/02/08 01:16	71-43-2	
Ethylbenzene	ND ug/L ND ug/L		1.0			08/02/08 01:16		
Naphthalene	ND ug/L ND ug/L		1.0 1.0			08/02/08 01:16		
Toluene	ND ug/L ND ug/L					08/02/08 01:16		
m&p-Xylene	ND ug/L ND ug/L		1.0 2.0			08/02/08 01:16		
o-Xylene	ND ug/L ND ug/L					08/02/08 01:16		
o Ajiono	IND Ug/L		1.0	, ,		00/02/00 01.10	33-41-0	

Date: 08/13/2008 05:36 PM

**REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS** 

Page 21 of 38







Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 2225 Riverside Dr. Asheville, NC 28804 (828)254-7176 Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 9800 Kincey Ave. Suite 100 Huntersville, NC 28078 (704)875-9092

### **ANALYTICAL RESULTS**

Project:

LAUREL BAY SAMPLING 7/28/08

Pace Project No.:


9224472

Sample: 1106 IRIS A	Lab ID: 9224	4472020	Collected: 07/28/0	8 15:20	Received: 07	//30/08 17:00 N	Matrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8260 MSV Low Level	Analytical Meth	nod: EPA 82	60					
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	96 %		85-115	1		08/02/08 01:16	1868-53-7	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	99 %		79-120	1		08/02/08 01:16		
Toluene-d8 (S)	99 %		70-120	1		08/02/08 01:16		
Sample: 1106 IRIS D	Lab ID: 922	4472021	Collected: 07/28/0	08 15:25	Received: 07	7/30/08 17:00 M	Matrix: Water	
Parameters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
8270 MSSV PAH by SIM SPE	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 82	70 by SIM Preparat	ion Meth	od: EPA 3535	-		
Acenaphthene	ND ug	/L	2.0	1	08/03/08 00:00	08/12/08 17:58	83-32-9	
Acenaphthylene	ND ug		1.5	1		08/12/08 17:58		
Anthracene	ND ug		0.050	1		08/12/08 17:58		
Benzo(a)anthracene	ND ug		0.10	1		08/12/08 17:58		
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND ug		0.20	1		08/12/08 17:58		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND ug		0.30	1		08/12/08 17:58		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND ug		0.20	1		08/12/08 17:58		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND ug		0.20	1		08/12/08 17:58		
Chrysene	ND ug		0.10	1		08/12/08 17:58		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND ug		0.10	1		08/12/08 17:58		
Fluoranthene	ND ug			1		08/12/08 17:58		
Fluorene	~		0.30	1		08/12/08 17:58		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND ug		0.31 0.20	1				
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND ug			1		08/12/08 17:58		
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND ug		2.0			08/12/08 17:58		
Naphthalene	ND ug		2.0	1		08/12/08 17:58		
Phenanthrene	ND ug		1.5	1		08/12/08 17:58		
	ND ug		0.20	1		08/12/08 17:58		
Pyrene	ND ug	L	0.10	1		08/12/08 17:58		
Nitrobenzene-d5 (S)	57 %		50-150	1		08/12/08 17:58		
2-Fluorobiphenyl (S) Terphenyl-d14 (S)	54 % 58 %		50-150 50-150	1 1		08/12/08 17:58 08/12/08 17:58		
3260 MSV Low Level	Analytical Meth	od: EPA 82	60					
Benzene	ND ug/	L L	1.0	1		08/02/08 11:10	71-43-2	
Ethylbenzene	ND ug/		1.0	1		08/02/08 11:10		
Naphthalene	ND ug/		1.0	1		08/02/08 11:10	91-20-3	
Toluene	ND ug/		1.0	1		08/02/08 11:10		
m&p-Xylene	ND ug/		2.0	1		08/02/08 11:10		
o-Xylene	ND ug/		1.0	1		08/02/08 11:10		
1-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	94 %	_	87-109	1		08/02/08 11:10		
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	97 %		85-115	1		08/02/08 11:10		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	103 %		79-120	1		08/02/08 11:10		
Toluene-d8 (S)	99 %		79-120	1		08/02/08 11:10		

Date: 08/13/2008 05:36 PM

**REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS** 

Page 22 of 38





# Appendix D Regulatory Correspondence



BOARD: Paul C. Aughtry, III Chairman Edwin H. Cooper, III Vice Chairman Steven G. Kisner

Secretary



M. David Mitchell, MD

Glenn A. McCall

Coleman F. Buckhouse, MD

ROARD:

Henry C. Scott

C. Earl Hunter, Commissioner

Promoting and protecting the health of the public and the environment

13 August 2008

Beaufort Military Complex Family Housing ATTN: Kyle Broadfoot 1510 Laurel Bay Blvd. Beaufort, SC 29906

Re:

MCAS - Laurel Bay Housing - 1106 Iris

Site ID # 03981

UST Closure Reports received 31 January 2008

**Beaufort County** 

Dear Mr. Broadfoot:

The purpose of this letter is to verify a release of fuel oil at the referenced residence. According to information received by the Department, the source of the release is from past onsite use of fuel oil USTs. To date, initial activities by the facility have included tank removal and soil sampling. Based on the information contained in the closure report, a potential violation of the South Carolina Pollution Control Act has occurred in that there has been an unauthorized release of petroleum to the environment.

Additional assessment activities are required for this site. Specifically the Department requests that a groundwater sample be collected from this site. Please note, the Department approved a groundwater sampling proposal for Laurel Bay submitted by MCAS under separate cover dated 16 June 2008.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at 803-898-3553 (office phone), 803-898-2893 (fax) or bishopma@dhec.sc.gov.

Sincerely,

Michael Bishop, Hydrogeologist Groundwater Quality Section

Bureau of Water

cc:

Region 8 District EQC (via pdf)

MCAS, Commanding Officer, Attention: S-4 NREAO (William Drawdy) (via pdf)

Technical File



#### C. Earl Hunter. Commissioner

Promoting and protecting the health of the public and the environment.

#### 18 December 2008

Commanding Officer ATTN: S-4 NREAO (Craig Ehde) MCAS PO Box 55001 Beaufort, SC 29904-5001

Re:

MCAS - Laurel Bay Housing - 1106 Iris

Site ID # 03981

Groundwater Sampling Results received 6 November 2008

**Beaufort County** 

#### Dear Mr. Ehde:

Per the Department's request, a groundwater sample was collected from the referenced site. The groundwater results were reported as non-detect. Based on the information and analytical data submitted, the Department recognizes that MCAS has adequately addressed the known environmental contamination identified on the property to date in accordance with the approved scope of work. Consequently, no further investigation is required at this time. Please note, this statement pertains only to the portion of the site addressed in the referenced report and does not apply to other areas of the site and/or any other potential regulatory violations. Further, the Department retains the right to request further investigation if deemed necessary.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at 803-896-4179 (office phone), 803-896-6245 (fax) or cookejt@dhec.sc.gov.

Sincerely,
AST Petroleum Restoration
& Site Environmental Investigations Section
Land Revitalization Division
Bureau of Land and Waste Management
SC Dept. of Health & Environmental Control

Jan T. Cooke, Hydrogeologist

B. Thomas Knight, Manager

CC:

Region 8 District EQC

Tri-Command Communities; Attn: Mr. Robert Bible; 600 Laurel Bay Road Beaufort, SC

29906

**Technical File**